



REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS Sanitary Sewer Overflow Reduction Program: Program Manager Services

RFQ Q-12-011-DS

2:00 PM (CDT) | November 28, 2012

This document provides the Questions received for the above Request for Qualifications and Official SAWS Answers.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q: Are resumes included in the 50-page limit for this proposal?

A: Yes

Q: When the time comes/the services are necessary for this SAWS SSO Reduction Plan project, does SAWS anticipate hiring one (1) Construction Manager or do you all anticipate awarding multiple contracts for the Construction Management services? If multiple contracts, how many?

A: At this time, SAWS anticipates awarding one contract for Construction Management unless conditions warrant multiple contracts.

Q: What % of the pipe is Asbestos?

A: Minimal (.01%)

Q: Will the existing work force be available for the program, or will they be separate?

A: Yes, the existing work force will be available and integrated into the program.

Q: When will the CD be lodged?

A: It is unknown at this time.

Q: What is the rationale for separating the program manager from the construction manager?

A: SAWS believes having a separate program and construction managers provides for better checks and balances.

Q: Are the exceptions in the contract included in the required 50 pages?

A: No.

Q: Will SAWS accept the following tabbed format?

Tab 1 – Team Qualifications and Prime Consultant, Subconsultant and System Integrator’s Recent Relevant Experience

Tab 2 – Project Approach and Team Integration

Tab 3 – Information Systems Integration

Tab 4 – Risk Identification and Management

Tab 5 – References

Tab 6 – Required Forms (including the following Sections: Submittal Response Checklist; 2. Respondent Questionnaire; 3. W-9 Form; 4. Exhibit A – Proof of Insurability; 5. Exhibit B – Good Faith Effort Plan (GFEP) Policies on Equal Employment Opportunity and SMWB; 6. Exhibit C – Conflict of Interest Questionnaire Ethics Policy Disclosure).

A: Yes.

Q: Will sub-consultants on the winning program management team be able to participate as sub-consultants on potential projects that derive from the program in the future?

A: Sub consultants to the Program Manager will be permitted to perform final design services related to the CD projects only if the job functions of the subconsultant do not pose a conflict in SAWS’ sole discretion, and the subconsultant does not contribute more than 15% of the effort based on the original contract amount of the Program Manager Contract.

Q: On page 3, Tab 3 is entitled “Information Systems Integration” and the same item is listed on page 2, Tab 2, Project Approach and Team Integration, as the second sub-tab with a reference to the information in Tab 3. Could you clarify the preferred location of the approach for information systems integration and how we should differentiate between the two sections?

A: The Information Systems Integration approach should be located in Tab 3.

Q: The “Submittal Response Checklist” on page 30 of the RFQ appears to have an error – it’s missing “Tab 5 – References” (page 4)? It lists the other four tabs as well as the other forms and info we need to submit, just not that last tab. Please clarify.

A: Please include Tab 5 – References; this was inadvertently left off of the Submittal Response Checklist.

Q: Will the cover letter counts towards the maximum allowed 50 pages?

A: No, as long as the cover letter is succinct.

Q: Item 7 on page 24 indicates that “Illustrations, if required may be submitted on 11”by 17” sheetsand will not count towards the page limit of 50 pages.” Please confirm.

A: Illustrations that support the various approaches requested in the RFQ will not count towards the 50-page limit.

Q: From the RFQ it appears that SAWS has developed programs that are consistent with the EPA guidance manual on CMOM but has never developed an actual CMOM Plan.

a. Is this statement accurate?

b. Are the programs that SAWS has developed written and documented, or are they unwritten and undocumented procedures that are generally understood and followed by SAWS staff? If some are unwritten, which of the procedures referenced in Section I.D.III. “Capacity Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Services” of the RFQ are written and which are unwritten?

A: a. Yes, SAWS never developed one comprehensive plan outlining all the requirements.

b. Most all have some documentation, but will require review, modifications for consistency and final compilation to meet the EPA requirement.

Q: How up to date is the model data?

A: East (2006); Far West & West (2007) and Central (2009). Those are the last updates in terms of calibration. We periodically update the infrastructure/geometry for all the models. The Eastern was rebuilt from our GIS (May 2011). Flow monitoring was completed (30 flow monitors) and captured flow from May 2011 to June 2012. The West is currently being rebuilt from GIS. No recent flow monitoring has been conducted for the West, Far West or Central sewer sheds.

Q: Is SAWS considering upgrading to InfoWorks ICM?

A: Yes

Q: Has SAWS used RTC within the model to analyze and optimize storage to reduce SSO’s

A: No

Q: What runoff and routing engines is SAWS using? Are there any specific requirements regarding these in the CD?

A: What we have in our current models are the following settings:

- Runoff Volume Type: Fixed
- Routing Model: Wallingford

The CD is being negotiated and the terms are not final and are confidential.

Item	Runoff Routing Value	Runoff Volume Type	Routing Model	Surface Type	Ground Slope (%)	Initial Loss Type	Initial Loss Value (ft)	Initial Abstraction Factor
Central_2009								
C_2027_MP	16.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2017_MP	17.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2012_MP	6.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP	14.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_Master	100.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_TVC	23.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_S.Alamo	21.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_cm_Combined S.Alamo	18.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_PortSA	29.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_PortSA_1	28.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_C_13 Update Dec2011	6.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_C_13 Update Dec2011_KJR	30.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_Hot Wells	25.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	8.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)_Re	4.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	28.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	6.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	29.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	15.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)	23.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_NO Improvements (with C-13 Project)_A	12.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_13 Update Dec2011	13.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_MP_C_13 Update Dec2011_No Improvements (C	16.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009	20.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)	12.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_1	208.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	82.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	225.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	93.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	217.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	300.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	325.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	142.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	92.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	22.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	163.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	72.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	227.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	192.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	100.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	233.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	408.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	267.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Pervious		Slope	0.00028000	0.00000000
C_2009_OLD_Operational Model (No Improvements)_f	21.000	Fixed	Wallingford	Impervious		Slope	0.00007100	0.00000000

Q: Is the current model in sync (integrated) with their asset management database or work order system?

A: No, not at this time.